

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/530,219	07	7/27/2000	Christof Niehrs	40168 4031		
35928	7590	05/19/2003				
	GRAY CARY WARE FREDENRICH				EXAMINER	
SUITE 300		TS AVENUE, NV		ANDRES, JANET L		
WASHING	TON, DC 2	20036-2247		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•				1646 DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/530,219	NIEHRS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Janet L. Andres	1646				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro c, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	February 2003 .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ion of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
. —	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8)∐ Applicat	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c ion Papers	or election requirement.					
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	·				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
. 13)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	ation No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 15				

Application/Control Number: 09/530,219

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group V in Paper No. 14 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that example 17 in the administrative instructions under the PCT indicates that a claim to protein X should be grouped with a DNA sequence encoding protein X. The instant protein claims are not drawn to a particular protein X, but rather to a protein encoded by a particular DNA. Because different start sites are present in a given DNA sequence, different proteins can be encoded by the same sequence and would lack a common technical feature. However, the requirement that the encoded protein have the consensus structure of figure 1 ensures that the claim encompasses only one open reading frame, and for this reason the restriction requirement separating protein and nucleic acids is withdrawn. Applicant has not traversed the restriction requirement among the different sequences of figure 2 and this requirement is thus deemed proper and made FINAL. Claims 1-7 are pending in this application; claims 1-5 and 7 are under examination as they pertain to the nucleic acid of figure 2.6, which is SEQ ID NO: 5, the protein encoded by it, and a method of use.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The sequences in the figures are not do not have sequence identifiers. See MPEP§2421.02(d);

Where the description or claims of a patent application discuss a sequence that is set forth in the "Sequence Listing" in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section, reference must be made to the sequence by use of the sequence identifier, preceded by "SEQ ID NO:" in the text of the description or claims, even if the sequence is also embedded in the text of the description or claims of the patent application.

Appropriate correction is required.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/530,219

Art Unit: 1646

Claim Objections

Claims 1-5 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: These claims refer to a figure. See MPEP §2173.05(s). Further, as set forth above, the sequences must be referred to by sequence identifiers. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-5 are also objected to because they encompass non-elected subject matter.

It is noted that the claims recite DNA that is related "via the degenerated genetic code".

While Applicant's meaning is clear, "due to the degeneracy of the genetic code" is the more usual terminology.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed inventions are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-4 do not require that the protein, DNA, or cells be isolated and thus encompass products of nature.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/530,219

Art Unit: 1646

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The factors to be considered have been summarized as the quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance presented, the presence or absence of working examples, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability of the art and the breadth of the claims. *Ex Parte Forman*, (230 USPQ 546 (Bd Pat. App. & Int. 1986)); *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ 2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

For purposes of examination, this claim is interpreted as being drawn to a method of use of the polypeptide or polynucleotides to interfere with Wnt function for the purposes of diagnosis or therapy. Applicant has not, however, described any diseases or conditions associated with Wnt function. Thus there are no conditions identified for which interference with its function would be therapeutic, or that could be diagnosed by the results of such interference. There is further no guidance as to how one of skill might identify such conditions; no characteristic features of these conditions are set forth. The prior art provides no compensatory teachings; inhibitors of Wnt are known but no diagnostic or therapeutic uses have been shown. Further, the claim is broadly drawn to encompass not only the proteins and polynucleotides disclosed, but any having are encoding the consensus sequences set forth in Figure 1. Applicant has not

Application/Control Number: 09/530,219

Art Unit: 1646

provided objective evidence indicating that all proteins having this structure would function as inhibitors of Wnt or any guidance as to how one of skill might determine which, if any, nucleic acids or proteins other than those disclosed would have the required function. Thus, without further guidance, it would require undue experimentation for one of skill in the art to practice the invention as claimed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 7 provides for the use of Wnt inhibitors, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

CLAIMS 1-4 AND 7 ARE REJECTED. CLAIM 5 IS OBJECTED TO.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306 or (703) 872-9307 for after final communications.

Art Unit: 1646

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Ratent Examiner

May 15, 2003